

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,958	05/02/2005	Helen Braven	ATLAS 8095 US	8800
39843 7590 042620010 BELL & ASSOCIATES S8 West Portal Avenue No. 121			EXAMINER	
			POHNERT, STEVEN C	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94127			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/26/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

abell@bell-iplaw.com mkaser@bell-iplaw.com info@bell-iplaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/506,958	BRAVEN ET AL.			
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	STEVEN C. POHNERT	1634			
All Participants:	Status of Application: per	ding			
(1) <u>STEVEN C. POHNERT</u> .	(3)				
(2) Matthew Kaser.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 21 April 2010	Time: <u>2:00</u>				
Type of Interview: ⊠ Telephonic □ Video Conference □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: □ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ■ Applicant's representative)					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: none					
Claims discussed: none					
Prior art documents discussed: none					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.					
/Steven C Pohnert/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Application No. 10/506,958

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's representative about the amendment to the claims filed 1//26/2010. The examiner noted the response only contained two of the 9 pages of claims previously presented and did not indicate the corresponding claims were canceled. Applicant's representative agreed to send in a complete corrected set of claims within a week. No agreement to patentability was discussed.